UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES K. HADDEN, SR.

SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT OF JAMES K. HADDEN, SR.

The Plaintiff named below, by counsel, files this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Lability Litigation,* MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff files this Short-Form Complaint – Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff selects and indicates by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff, by counsel, alleges as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

A. PLAINTIFF

1. Plaintiff James K. Hadden, Sr. ("Plaintiff") brings this action (check the applicable designation):

On behalf of himself;

	In representative capacity as the, on behalf of the injured party, (Injured Party's Name)			
2.	Plaintiff is currently a resident and citizen of Frostproof , Florida and claims damages as set forth below.			
If any party	claims loss of consortium,			
3.	allege damages for loss of consortium.			
4.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Consortium Plaintiff is citizens and residents of (City, State)			
5.	At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff resided in (City, State)			
B. DEI	FENDANTS			
6.	Plaintiff names the following Defendants from the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint in this action:			
	a. Brand-Name Manufacturers: GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC GLAXOSMITHKLINE (AMERICA) INC. GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC PFIZER INC BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PATHEON MANUFACTURING SERVICES LLC			
	b. Generic Manufacturers:			
	c. Distributors and Repackager:			
	d. Retailers:			
	e. Others Not Named in the AMPIC:			

C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

DOES 1-100

7. Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

8. Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.

II. PRODUCT USE

9.	The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine: [Check all that apply			
		By prescription		
	[X]	Over the counter		

10. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately January, 2010 to December, 2019.

III. PHYSICAL INJURY

11. As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medications specified above, [he/she] was diagnosed with the following specific type of cancer (check all that apply):

Check all	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of
that		Diagnosis
apply		
[X]	BLADDER CANCER	01/29/2019
	BREAST CANCER	
	COLORECTAL CANCER	
	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER	
	GASTRIC CANCER	
	KIDNEY CANCER	
	LIVER CANCER	
	LUNG CANCER	
	PANCREATIC CANCER	
[X]	PROSTATE CANCER	03/2014, 01/29/2019
	OTHER CANCER:	
	DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)	

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiffs.

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiffs assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):¹

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, and WA
	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA
	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	CA, DE, DC, HI, IN, KY, LA, MD, MA, MN, MO, NV, NY, OR, and PA
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except

¹ In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
			DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	KS, TX
	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand- Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	All States and Territories
	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and Distributor Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in California)	CA only
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in Massachusetts)	MA only
	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim(s):

V. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demands judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

DATED: 30th day of March, 2022 Respectfully Submitted,

MORROW & SHEPPARD LLP

/s/ Nicholas A. Morrow

Nicholas A. Morrow
State Bar No. 24051088
Federal Bar No. 611443
All E-Service Copy To Both Of
The Following:
nmorrow@morrowsheppard.com
zantac@morrowsheppard.com

5151 San Felipe, Ste 1000 Houston, TX 77056 713.489.1206 tel 713.893.8370 fax

Of Counsel:

(Morrow & Sheppard LLP)
John D. Sheppard
State Bar No. 24051331
Federal Bar No. 635193
jsheppard@morrowsheppard.com
Patrick W. McGinnis
State Bar No. 24102179
Federal Bar No. 301654
pmcginnis@morrowsheppard.com

Counsel for Plaintiff